

on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At these meetings the Task Force will address the technologies that will affect the cost of ownership for current and future weapon systems. The Task Force should address the following questions: What logistics related technology opportunities are available to reduce costs while providing enhanced readiness, deployability and sustainment? What investment strategy is required to achieve the desired level of logistics cost reduction?

In accordance with Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II, (1988)), it has been determined that these DSB Task Force meetings concern matters listed in 5 U.S.C. § 552b(c)(1) (1988), and that accordingly these meetings will be closed to the public.

Dated: December 19, 1995.

L.M. Bynum,

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

FR Doc. 95-31240 Filed 12-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

### **Defense Science Board Task Force on Privatization and Outsourcing**

**ACTION:** Notice of Advisory Committee Meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Defense Science Board Task Force on Privatization and Outsourcing will meet in open session on January 16-17, 1996 at TASC, 1101 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense.

Persons interested in further information should call Ms. Julia Vindasius at (703) 695-7178 or Ms. Lois Lembo 358-9090 ext 6430.

Dated: December 19, 1995.

L.M. Bynum,

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 95-31239 Filed 12-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

#### **Notice of Public Meeting for Request for Expressions of Interest for Tritium Production**

**AGENCY:** U.S. Department of Energy (DOE).

**ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** On December 6, 1995, the Department announced a formal Record of Decision implementing a dual-track strategy to assure a future tritium source. The Department's preferred strategy for acquiring new supplies of tritium is to pursue the two most promising production alternatives: (1) to purchase irradiation services from one or more existing Commercial Light Water Reactors (CLWRs), or purchase and convert a CLWR for tritium production; and (2) to design, build and test critical components of an Accelerator-Produced Tritium system to be used for tritium production.

On December 13, 1996, a Request for Expression of Interest was published in the Federal Register (60 FR 64104) concerning DOE's possible acquisition of one or more Commercial Light Water Reactors, or acquisition of irradiation services from CLWRs, for the production of tritium.

As indicated in the Request, the Department is announcing that it intends to host a public meeting to discuss issues related to this Request and to provide information for potentially interested parties.

**DATES AND ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held on January 16, 1996, at 9:00 a.m. in room 1E-245 of the Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C.

To update the preliminary schedule in the Appendix of the Request, initial expressions of interest should be submitted on or before January 29, 1996. Supplementary information regarding the expressions of interest should be submitted on or before February 26, 1996. Submissions should be directed to: Stephen M. Sohinki, Director, Office of Reconfiguration, DP-25, United States Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20585, Attention: Tritium EOI, Telephone: (202) 586-0838.

A copy of the reports describing technical work accomplished, thus far, during the Tritium Target Development Project is available in the DOE Headquarters reading room at 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C.

A record of the meeting including answers to questions that, in DOE's

judgement, are of general interest and applicability to all potential respondents will be mailed to all attendees as well as other parties requesting such information, and will be made available for review along with a full text copy of the Request, in the Public Reading Room at DOE headquarters in Washington, D.C., and on the Internet at <http://web.fie.com/web/fed/doe/doeoor.htm> or modem toll free 1-800-783-3349.

Issued in Washington, D.C. December 20, 1995.

Eldon W. Joersz,

*Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Military Application, Defense Programs.*

[FR Doc. 95-31246 Filed 12-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

### **Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

**[Docket No. RP96-81-000]**

#### **Carnegie Interstate Pipeline Company; Notice of Petition for Approval of Exit Fee Stipulation**

December 19, 1995.

Take notice that on December 13, 1995, pursuant to Rule 207 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.207, Carnegie Interstate Pipeline Company (CIPCO) filed a petition with the Commission for approval of a stipulation entered into by CIPCO and Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (TETCO) on December 12, 1995.

CIPCO states that the stipulation provides for CIPCO to: (1) pay a negotiated exit fee to TETCO for the early termination of CIPCO's contract for firm transportation capacity on TETCO; (2) recover 100 percent of the exit fee from its customers through a direct bill; and (3) abandon its obligations under its contract with TETCO.

CIPCO states that the expeditious action on the petition will relieve CIPCO of the continued payment of reservation charges for its upstream capacity on TETCO, which are presently being collected through CIPCO's Transportation Cost Rate (TCR). CIPCO requests that its petition be disposed of under the shortened procedures in Rules 801 and 802 of the Commission's Rule of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.801-802.

Any person desiring to be heard or protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections

385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such motions or protests must be filed on or before December 29, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-31221 Filed 12-12-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Docket No. MG96-4-000]**

**Wyoming Interstate Company, Ltd.;  
Notice of Filing**

December 19, 1995.

Take notice that on December 8, 1995, Wyoming Interstate Company, Ltd. (WIC) filed updated standards for conduct under Order Nos. 497 *et seq.*<sup>1</sup> and Order Nos. 566 *et seq.*<sup>2</sup> to reflect certain updated information as well as a revision to Standard I, 18 CFR 161.3(i).

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of

Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 or 385.214). All such motions to intervene or protest should be filed on or before January 3, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-31222 Filed 12-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Docket No. CP95-595-001]**

**Texas Eastern Transmission  
Corporation; Notice of Amendment**

December 19, 1995.

Take notice that on November 20, 1995, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern), 5400 Westheimer Court, Houston, Texas 77056-5310 filed in Docket No. CP95-595-001 pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act an amendment to its application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity filed June 30, 1995, in Docket No. CP95-595-000, requesting authority to replace a pipeline crossing of the Brazos River, in order to modify the route alignment of the crossing, all as more fully set forth in the amendment, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

In its application in Docket No. CP95-595-000, Texas Eastern proposed to construct and operate 1.56 miles of new 24-inch diameter mainline between Milepost (MP) 52.25 and MP 53.81 on its Mainline No. 11 where it crosses the Brazos River in Austin and Waller Counties, Texas. Texas Eastern filed its proposal because its existing main line crossings of the Brazos River—the 24-inch diameter Line No. 11 and 16-inch auxiliary line—were exposed to the forces of the river as the result of erosion of the river bed in the vicinity of Line No. 11. On October 6, 1995, the Commission staff recommended in its Environmental Assessment (EA) that Texas Eastern use a designated alternative route rather than Texas Eastern's proposed route, in order to reduce the alleged environmental impacts identified by the Commission staff.

Texas Eastern states that it proposes to modify the EA's recommended route and to tie back into Texas Eastern's existing line in as short a distance as is

practical, in lieu of adopting the route recommended in the EA as "Alternative 2". Texas Eastern proposes to amend its application to lay pipeline in new right-of-way for a distance of approximately 1,300 feet, thereby connecting the directionally drilled river crossing of approximately 2,900 feet with the existing 24-inch Line No. 11. Texas Eastern states that compared to "Alternative 2", its proposed route will decrease new permanent right-of-way required, reduce the overall length of wetlands crossed, and decrease overall estimated project costs by approximately \$1,000,000. Texas Eastern asserts that is proposed modifications will both facilitate an expeditious replacement of the river crossing and decrease environmental impacts associated with the construction activity.

Specifically, Texas Eastern now proposes to replace, construct and operate approximately 0.84 miles of 24-inch diameter Line No. 11 mainline crossing of the Brazos River in Austin and Waller Counties, Texas, to remove the existing 24-inch and 16-inch diameter pipeline segments exposed in the river, and to abandon, in place, the remainder of the existing pipeline which will be replaced. The pipeline segment to be replaced is between MP 52.25 and MP 52.98 on Line No. 11. Texas Eastern estimates that the project will cost \$2,425,854. Texas Eastern states that the replacement segments will have a design delivery capacity equivalent to the facilities being replaced.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said amendment should on or before December 29, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (128 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Any person who has heretofore filed need not file again.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-31223 Filed 12-22-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

<sup>1</sup> Order No. 497, 53 FR 22139 (June 14, 1988), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,820 (1988); Order No. 497-A, *order on rehearing*, 54 FR 52781 (December 22, 1989), III FERC Stats. & Regs. 30,868 (1989); Order No. 497-B, *order extending sunset date*, 55 FR 53291 (December 28, 1990), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,908 (1990); Order No. 497-C, *order extending sunset date*, 57 FR 9 (January 2, 1992), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,934 (1991), rehearing denied, 57 FR 5815 (February 18, 1992), 58 FERC ¶ 61,139 (1992); *Tenneco Gas v. FERC* (affirmed in part and remanded in part), 969 F. 2d 1187 (D.C. Cir. 1992); Order No. 497-D, *order on remand and extending sunset date*, III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,958 (December 4, 1992), 57 FR 58978 (December 14, 1992); Order No. 497-E, *order on rehearing and extending sunset date*, 59 FR 243 (January 4, 1994), 65 FERC ¶ 61,381 (December 23, 1993); Order No. 497-F, *order denying rehearing and granting clarification*, 59 FR 15336 (April 1, 1994), 66 FERC ¶ 61,347 (March 24, 1994); and Order No. 497-G, *order extending sunset date*, 59 FR 32884 (June 27, 1994), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,996 (June 17, 1994).

<sup>2</sup> Standards of Conduct and Reporting Requirements for Transportation and Affiliate Transactions, Order No. 566, 59 FR 32885 (June 27, 1994), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 30,997 (June 17, 1994); Order No. 566-A, *order on rehearing*, 59 FR 52896 (October 20, 1994), 69 FERC ¶ 61,044 (October 14, 1994); Order No. 566-B, *order on rehearing*, 59 FR 65707, (December 21, 1994); 69 FERC ¶ 61,334 (December 14, 1994); *appeal docketed sub nom. Conoco, Inc. v. FERC*, D.C. Cir. No. 94-1745 (December 13, 1994).